

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/734,273	12/11/2000	Thomas C. Harrop	50671-P018US-10013652	50671-P018US-10013652 5508	
22878	7590 02/22/2005		EXAMINER		
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			NGUYEN, DUSTIN		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION, LEGAL DEPT. P.O. BOX 7599			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
M/S DL429			2154		
LOVELAND, CO 80537-0599			DATE MAILED: 02/22/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/734,273	HARROP, THOMAS C.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Dustin Nguyen	2154	

Advisory Addion	09/134,213	TIARROI, ITIOMA	<b>J O</b> .			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dustin Nguyen	2154				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 19 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:  a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	g a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abar an amendment, affidavit, or other beal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	ndonment of this app evidence, which plac e with 37 CFR 41.31;	es the or (3) a			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(fextensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI ).	f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO			
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.13o(a). The date of open filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension of (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS	11.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	ths of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a	g the Notice of			
B. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	ef, will <u>not</u> be entered	because			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	g the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).			
$5$ . $\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>						
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none.	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ worlded below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-16 and 18-33</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	41. 6	NI-4:				
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence	is necessary			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fo See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).			
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance hecause.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b			ance pecause.			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
JOHN FOL	LANSBEE					
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER						
FCHNOLOGY CENTER 2100						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new claimed language of claim 1, "automatically reserving or ordering an additional physical hardware resource that is not in the computer when the signal is provided and which is to be later manually physically added to the computer after the reserving or placing of an order", would raise issues that would require further consideration and/or search.